

# LONG LANE, ICKENHAM - PETITION REQUESTING A RESIDENTS' PARKING SCHEME

<b>Cabinet Member(s)</b>	Councillor Keith Burrows
<b>Cabinet Portfolio(s)</b>	Planning, Transportation and Recycling
<b>Officer Contact(s)</b>	Danielle Watson
<b>Papers with report</b>	Appendix A

## **1. HEADLINE INFORMATION**

<b>Summary</b>	To inform the Cabinet Member that a petition has been received from residents living between Nos. 21- 57 Long Lane asking the Council to consider parking restrictions in the road to prevent all day non-residential parking and improve access.
<b>Contribution to our plans and strategies</b>	The request can be considered in relation to the Council's strategy for on-street parking controls.
<b>Financial Cost</b>	There are none associated with the recommendations to this report.
<b>Relevant Policy Overview Committee</b>	Residents' and Environmental Services.
<b>Ward(s) affected</b>	Ickenham

## **2. RECOMMENDATION**

That the Cabinet Member:

1. Meets and discusses with petitioners their concerns with parking in the service road fronting Nos. 21-57 Long Lane, Ickenham.
2. Subject to the outcome of 1 above, asks officers to add the request to the Council's programme for parking schemes for future consultation on options to address all day non-residential parking and then to report back to local Ward Councillors and the Cabinet Member on the outcome.

### **Reasons for recommendation**

To give the Cabinet Member the opportunity to discuss in detail the petitioners' concerns

---

PART I – MEMBERS, PUBLIC AND PRESS

## **Alternative options considered / risk management**

None at this stage

## **Policy Overview Committee comments**

None at this stage.

## **3. INFORMATION**

### **Supporting Information**

1. A petition with 42 signatures has been received from residents living between Nos. 21-59 Long Lane, Ickenham which represents 86% of the households in this part of the road under the following heading:

*'We the residents of 21 to 59 Long Lane, Ickenham request that Hillingdon Borough Council consider us for the introduction of a Parking Management Scheme in our service road.'*

2. The area concerned is located south of Swakeleys Road, Ickenham and is very close to Ickenham London Underground Station and Ickenham Village centre shops. The location is indicated on the plan attached as Appendix A to this report.

3. In view of the local proximity of the station and local facilities it is likely that all day non-residential parking is associated with commuters as this would appear to be a very convenient road to park as an alternative to the station car park.

4. However the Cabinet Member will also be aware that some other roads in the area are either currently being consulted on a detailed design for a proposed parking scheme, have petitioned for parking controls or in some instances already benefit from managed parking. It is therefore recommended that the Cabinet Member discusses with petitioners their concerns and, if considered appropriate, asks officers to add this request to the future parking scheme programme

### **Financial Implications**

There are none associated with the recommendations in this report.

## **4. EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES**

### **What will be the effect of the recommendation?**

To allow the Cabinet Member to discuss in detail with petitioners their concerns.

### **Consultation Carried Out or Required**

None at this stage

## **5. CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS**

Corporate Finance

Legal

Corporate Property and Construction

Relevant Service Groups

None at this stage

## **6. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

Petition received May 2012